Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/677,395	LETANT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert T. Crow	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Robert T. Crow.	(3)	
(2) <u>Dominic Kotab</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 August 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 10 and 11.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Robert T. Crow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Kotab to discuss the non-compliant amendments to claim 10 submitted 18 August 2010. Mr. Kotab indicated that a new set of claim would be submitted. The examiner then contacted Mr. Kotab on 26 August 2010 to discuss amendments to claims 10 and 11 to remove duplicate text. Mr. Kotab agreed to the changes, which are detailed in the Examiner's Amendment included with this Interview Summary.